


Form Letter D
7-25

#2806

Shomper, Kris

From: Joann Cortese [joann.cortese@sartomer.com]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 9:26 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Joann Cortese
Sartomer USA, LLC

Shomper, Kris

From: Glenn Kayea Sr [Gkayea@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 8:51 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Glenn Kayea Sr
ConocoPhillips.com

Shomper, Kris

From: Joseph Petracco [joseph.m.petracco@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 8:59 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Joseph Petracco
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Karen Shorten [karen.l.shorten@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2010 9:47 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Karen Shorten
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Ronald Darden [Ron.Darden@ConocoPhillips.com]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 7:25 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Ronald Darden
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Brian Hendrickson [bthendr@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 7:30 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.


It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

I'm just asking you to act logically and ethically. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Brian Hendrickson
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: David Erfert [david.erfert@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2010 7:44 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
David Erfert
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Walter Campbell [wjcampbell@verizon.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2010 6:42 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Walter Campbell
conocophillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Thomas Smith [thomas.smith@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2010 5:32 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Thomas Smith
conocophillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Ilrania Wootson [ilrania.p.wootsom@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2010 1:11 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Ilrania Wootson
conocophillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Linda Franks [linda.franks@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 5:40 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Linda Franks
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Ralph Griffith [RGRIF30771@AOL.COM]
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 5:08 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Ralph Griffith
CONOCOPHILLIPS

Shomper, Kris

From: David Sninchak [DavidPSninchak@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2010 2:22 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
David Sninchak
conocophillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Dave Edwards [Dave.Edwards@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 6:02 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Dave Edwards
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: John Glenn [john.glenn@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 7:25 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
John Glenn
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Peter Owens [p.j.owens@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 5:09 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Peter Owens
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Janelle Lieblein [janelle.lieblein@conocophillips.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 5:08 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Janelle Lieblein
ConocoPhillips

Shomper, Kris

From: Brant Zell [brant.zell@cherokee-pharma.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 3:43 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Brant Zell
Cherokee Pharmaceutical

Shomper, Kris

From: Herschel Craven [hrcraven@ashland.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 11:57 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Herschel Craven
Ashland